Intermolecular reactions of electron-rich heterocycles with copper and rhodium carbenoids

Huw M. L. Davies* and Simon J. Hedley

Received 1st August 2006

First published as an Advance Article on the web 15th February 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b607983k

This *tutorial review* describes the reactions of the electron-rich heterocycles pyrrole, furan, indole and benzofuran with copper and rhodium carbenoids. Two main reaction pathways are possible, involving either a concerted non-synchronous cyclopropanation or zwitterionic intermediates. A diverse range of products are possible and the outcome is very dependent on the structure of the heterocycle and the carbenoid. To emphasize this point the carbenoids are considered in terms of three classes: acceptor, acceptor–acceptor and donor–acceptor carbenoids. Unusual catalytic asymmetric transformations can be achieved with this chemistry while the asymmetric induction is strongly influenced by how the carbenoid can approach the heterocycles. This tutorial review gives an overview of the general features that govern the chemistry of metal carbenoids with heterocycles and presents a mechanistic rationale for the range of products that can be formed.

Aromatic heterocycles are ubiquitous in nature and consistently remain at the forefront of biological and medicinal chemistry research. In pharmaceutical drug design, a variety of heterocycles are repeatedly used as the pharmacophore for the development of therapeutic targets. Therefore, there is considerable interest in new methods for the formation and elaboration of heterocycles. In this tutorial review, the intermolecular reactions of electron-rich oxygen and nitrogen heterocycles with copper and rhodium carbenoids will be discussed.

Metal carbenoids are readily generated by metal-catalyzed decomposition of diazoacetates and occupy an established position as versatile synthetic intermediates in organic chemistry.¹ In comparison to free carbenes, metal carbenoids are endowed with increased stability, and are capable of highly selective reactions.² They are highly electrophilic but their reactivity profile is very dependent on the structure of the carbenoid and the metal. Most of the early literature on

Department of Chemistry, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York, Buffalo, New York 14260-3000, United States of America. E-mail: hdavies@buffalo.edu; Fax: +1 7166456547; Tel: +1 7166456800 metal-catalyzed carbenoid reactions used copper complexes as the catalysts and ethyl diazoacetate as the carbenoid source.^{1,2} In recent years dirhodium tetracarboxylates have become the catalysts of choice³ and a much wider range of carbenoid precursors has been developed. Many carbenoid reactions that were inefficient using the traditional copper catalysts have now been transformed into high yielding, selective processes when using rhodium catalysis. $1,2$

Metal carbenoids readily cyclopropanate electron-rich alkenes.4 Extending the reaction to electron-rich heterocycles can lead to a variety of products. The cyclopropanation occurs in a concerted, non-synchronous mode with build-up of positive charge at either the 2- or 3-positions as shown in 1 and 2 (Scheme 1).⁵ Alternatively if the heterocycle and carbenoid are effective at charge stabilization, zwitterionic intermediates 3 or 4 can be formed, leading to different types of products.⁵

The structure of the metal carbenoid has a major influence on the outcome of this chemistry.⁶ In order to illustrate this point, the carbenoids will be categorized into three classes

Huw M. L. Davies

Huw M. L. Davies was born in Aberystwyth, Wales. He received his BSc degree from University College Cardiff, Wales in 1977 and his PhD degree from the University of East Anglia, England in 1980. After a post-doctoral position at Princeton University, he joined the faculty at Wake Forest University. In 1995 he moved to the University at Buffalo, the State University of New York, where he currently holds the position of Larkin Professor of Simon J. Hedley
Organic Chemistry.

Simon J. Hedley received his MSc degree and in 1999 and his PhD degree in 2002, both from the University of Sheffield, UK. In 2003 he took up a post-doctoral position at the University at Buffalo, where he worked on the reaction of rhodium carbenoids with heterocycles. In 2006 he started a medicinal chemistry position at Amgen, Inc.

Scheme 1 Electrophilic substitution reactions of electron-rich aromatic heterocycles.

Fig. 1 Classification of metal carbenoids.

according to the functionality around the carbenoid (Fig. 1): acceptor; acceptor–acceptor; and donor–acceptor, where the terms ''donor'' and ''acceptor'' refer respectively to the donation or withdrawal of electron density at the carbenoid center by resonance. This is an important consideration, as metal carbenoids that are very electrophilic will tend to react via zwitterionic intermediates while the donor–acceptor carbenoids, and to a lesser extent the acceptor carbenoids, will tend to react in a concerted manner. Very electron-rich heterocycles would also be expected to favor the formation of zwitterionic intermediates.⁵

Reactions with pyrroles

In terms of classical electrophilic substitution reactions, pyrroles are considerably more reactive than furans.⁷ This is due to the powerful electron-donating ability of the nitrogen lone pair, which leads to a very electron-rich heterocycle. The reaction of carbenoids with N-H or N-alkyl pyrroles tend to proceed via zwitterionic intermediates, such as 3 or 4, leading to alkylation products, while cyclopropane products are predominantly formed with N-acyl pyrroles, arising from intermediates 1 and 2.⁵

Reactions with N-H and N-alkyl pyrroles

Acceptor substituted carbenoids. All three classes of carbenoids react with pyrrole or N-alkyl pyrroles to form alkylation products. A detailed study of the reaction of ethyl diazoacetate (5) with N-alkyl pyrroles showed that copper-catalyzed reactions lead to the formation of alkylation products in fairly low to moderate yields.⁸ Interestingly, $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ is an ineffective catalyst for this reaction, even though rhodium(II) carboxylates are the catalysts of choice in most carbenoid transformations. The efficiency and regioselectivity of the reaction is very dependent on the N-alkyl substituent and the

type of catalyst used (eqn (1)). The size of the alkyl substituent determines whether the electrophilic carbenoid will attack at the 2- or 3-position. A relatively small substituent will favor the 2-alkylation product, $e.g.$ 6a, while in the case of N-tertbutyl pyrrole the 3-alkylation product 7b was formed exclusively.⁸ With unsubstituted pyrrole, competing $N-H$ insertion was found to be negligible.

Acceptor–acceptor substituted carbenoids. The reaction of dimethyl diazomalonate (8) with N-methyl pyrrole (eqn (2)) is a much cleaner reaction than the corresponding reaction of ethyl diazoacetate (5) . In this case, a mixture of alkylation products 9 and 10 is formed. There is a marked preference for regioisomer 9 with substitution at the 2-position. When $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ is used as catalyst, higher yields of 9 and 10 (92%) than the corresponding copper-catalyzed processes (30– 76% yield) were obtained.

Donor–acceptor substituted carbenoids. Pyrrole and N-methyl pyrrole both undergo an efficient and highly regioselective alkylation with vinyldiazoacetate $11.^{10}$ Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed decomposition of 11 in the presence of pyrrole resulted in the formation of a single alkylation product 12a in 71% yield, while the reaction with *N*-methyl pyrrole resulted in a 91 : 9 mixture of $12b$ and $13b$ in 86% yield (eqn (3)).

The alkylation products can be considered to be derived from two possible pathways (Scheme 1). The first possibility involves a concerted non-synchronous reaction via 1 or 2 to generate cyclopropanes, which then undergo ring opening and proton transfer to form the alkylation products.⁵ Alternatively, the reaction proceeds by electrophilic attack of the carbenoid on the pyrrole to generate zwitterionic intermediates 3 and 4, which then rearrange by a 1,2-proton shift and concurrent aromatization.⁵ While it is not possible to discriminate unequivocally between these two possible mechanisms, the results are more consistent with the latter proposal. The increased preference of alkylation at the 2-position is consistent with the electrophilic substitution reactions of pyrroles. The product distribution is sensitive to the catalyst used, and the effect of increasing steric bulk at nitrogen makes attack at the 3-position more favorable. Additionally, the most effective reactions were observed with diazoacetates 8 and 11, which are especially suited to the stabilization of the anion portion of the zwitterionic intermediates 3 and 4.

Reactions with N-acyl pyrroles

Acceptor substituted carbenoids. The incorporation of an N-acyl substituent significantly alters the reactivity of the pyrrole ring. As the nitrogen lone pair is now in conjugation with the carbonyl group, the aromatic ring is not as electronrich, and behaves more typically like a diene towards metal carbenoids. A recent example with an acceptor substituted carbenoid is the enantioselective cyclopropanation in 46% ee of N-Boc pyrrole (14) with methyl diazoacetate (15) using the chiral copper catalyst derived from bisoxazoline 16 (eqn (4)).¹¹ One of the distinctive features of this reaction is that the monocyclopropanation product 17 is formed even though the enamine functionality in 17 would be expected to be more reactive than the starting N-Boc pyrrole 14. This is because these carbenoids are highly reactive and unselective, and generally do not discriminate between the product 17 and the excess of starting pyrrole 14.

Acceptor–acceptor carbenoids. An unusual tricyclic product 20 is generated in the $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 2-diazo-1,3-cyclohexanedione 19 with N-carboethoxy pyrrole 18 (Scheme 2). 12 The product 20, being somewhat unstable, can be isolated in low yield (32%).

The reaction presumably involves zwitterionic intermediate 21, followed by ring closure of the oxyanion onto the iminium portion. The zwitterionic intermediate 21 would be expected to be stabilized by the presence of the two electron-withdrawing groups. However, from comparison with the reactions of N-alkyl pyrroles with acceptor–acceptor substituted carbenoids (eqn (2)), the alkylation of the carbenoid would be expected to occur at the 2-position, rather than at the

Scheme 2 $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed decomposition of 2-diazo-1,3-cyclohexadienone 19 in the presence of N-carboethoxy pyrrole 18.

3-position which is observed in Scheme $2⁹$ Pirrung *et al.* proposed that a cyclopropane intermediate was formed initially which undergoes ring-opening to intermediate 21 .¹² It could be argued that the steric effect of having an N-acyl substituent combined with a bulky rhodium carbenoid may switch the regioselectivity to the 3-position. This regioselectivity is observed in the reactions of N-Boc pyrrole with donor–acceptor carbenoids (vide infra), $\frac{13}{3}$ which supports a direct alkylation in this case.

Donor–acceptor carbenoids. The reaction of donor–acceptor carbenoids with N-acyl pyrroles is very different from the reactions of the other types of carbenoids because these carbenoids display much greater chemo- and stereoselectivity.⁶ This influence is clearly seen in the reaction of the aryldiazoacetate 22 with $N-\text{Boc}$ pyrrole (14) (eqn (5)).¹³ The $Rh₂(S-DOSP)₄$ -catalyzed reaction results in the formation of the biscyclopropane 23 even when a large excess (6 equiv.) of the pyrrole 14 is used. The monocyclopropane could be isolated only when pyrrole 14 was used as solvent. This demonstrates the greater chemoselectivity apparent when donor–acceptor carbenoids are used, as the monocyclopropane is more efficiently cyclopropanated than the pyrrole 14 even though this is in large excess. This reaction also illustrates the high stereoselectivity exhibited by the donor–acceptor carbenoid because 23, which has six stereogenic centers, is formed as a single diastereomer in 77–83% ee.

The rhodium-catalyzed reaction of vinyldiazoacetates with N-acyl pyrroles is a very efficient method for the synthesis of tropanes,¹⁰ because the initially formed divinylcyclopropane is capable of ring expansion via a Cope rearrangement. An example of this is the reaction of vinyldiazoacetate 11 with N-carboxymethyl pyrrole (24), which results in the formation

Scheme 3 Tandem cyclopropanation–Cope rearrangement.

of the tropane 25 in 62% yield (Scheme 3).¹⁰ The facile Cope rearrangement requires the stereoselective formation of the cisdivinylcyclopropane 26, and this type of stereoselectivity is a distinctive feature of the reactions of donor–acceptor substituted carbenoids.⁴

The vinyldiazoacetate 27, which lacks functionality at the vinyl terminus, displays a more complicated reactivity profile because it can be attacked at either the carbenoid site to form 28 or the vinylogous position to form 29 (Table 1).¹⁴ One of the major advantages of the dirhodium tetracarboxylates is the possibility of changing the electron density around the catalyst.¹⁵ In this case electron-deficient catalysts and relatively polar solvents favor vinylogous reactivity, while electron-rich catalysts and hydrocarbon solvents favor carbenoid reactivity.¹⁴

The utility of this methodology in tropane synthesis is shown in the racemic total synthesis of two alkaloids, ferruginine 31 and anhydroecgonine methyl ester $32.^{14}$ Using the optimized conditions derived from Table 1 $(Rh_2(OHex)_4)$ or $Rh₂(OOct)₄$ catalyst, non-polar solvent), vinyldiazoacetates 27 and 30 undergo the $[4 + 3]$ cycloaddition process in good yield. Completion of the synthesis can be achieved by standard reaction manipulations (Scheme 4).

Another impressive example of the use of the $[4 + 3]$ cycloaddition in natural product synthesis is Kende et al.'s total synthesis of isostemofoline 36.¹⁶ A key nortropinone intermediate was acquired through the tropane 35, formed by the $[4 + 3]$ cycloaddition of pyrrole 33 and siloxy-substituted vinyl carbenoid 34 in 90% yield (Scheme 5).

Unexpected products can be generated if conditions favor the formation of zwitterionic intermediates. This can be clearly

Table 1 Vinylogous versus carbenoid reactivity

N CO ₂ Me	N ₂ CO ₂ Me Catalyst Refluxing Solvent	CO ₂ Me ,CO ₂ Me CO ₂ Me $MeO2C$.			
24		28	29		
Catalyst	Solvent	28 Yield $(\%$	Ratio 28 : 29		
$Rh_2(OAc)_4$ $Rh_2(ffa)_4$ $Rh_2(OHex)_4$	CH_2Cl_2 CH_2Cl_2 Hexane	35 16 75	55:45 15:85 >95:5		

Scheme 4 Total synthesis of ferruginine (31) and anhydroecgonine methyl ester (32).

Scheme 5 Total synthesis of isostemofoline 36.

seen in the $Rh_2(S-TBSP)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of vinyldiazoacetate 27 with 2-methyl-N-Boc-pyrrole (37) (Scheme 6).¹⁷ Although the dirhodium tetraprolinates $Rh_2(S-TBSP)_4$ and $Rh₂(S-DOSP)₄$ are excellent chiral catalysts for asymmetric cyclopropanation with donor–acceptor carbenoids, 18 in this case the reaction goes awry.¹⁷ In addition to a regioisomeric mixture of tropanes 38 and 39, two other major products, the azabicyclo[3.3.0]octane 40 and the azabicyclo[4.2.0]octane 41, are formed.

An explanation for the formation of such a complex mixture is given in Scheme 7. $Rh_2(S-TBSP)_4$ is a relatively electrondeficient catalyst and so the formation of zwitterionic intermediates is enhanced.^{5,17} The tropanes 38 and 39 would

Scheme 6 $Rh_2(S-TBSP)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of vinyldiazoacetate 27 with 2-methyl-N-Boc-pyrrole (37).

Scheme 7 Proposed mechanism for the formation of 40 and 41.

be expected to be formed by a standard, concerted, nonsynchronous cyclopropanation followed by a Cope rearrangement, 14 but the unexpected products 40 and 41 would be derived from zwitterionic intermediates. Attack of the rhodium carbenoid at the 2-position of the pyrrole would generate the zwitterion intermediate 42, which would initiate a cascade reaction of ring-opening to trienamine 43, followed by a successive 8π and 6π electrocyclization to form 41. Alternative attack at the 3-position would generate the zwitterionic intermediate 44, which could then close to 40.

A solution to achieving asymmetric induction without side reactions due to zwitterionic intermediates has been to use chiral auxiliaries.¹⁷ The reaction of N-Boc pyrrole 14 and vinyldiazoacetates 45 and 47 with the inexpensive chiral auxiliaries (S) -lactate and (R) -pantolactone gives the desired tropane products 46 and 48 in good yields and reasonably high levels of asymmetric induction (Scheme 8). The carbonyl of the auxiliary is considered to coordinate to the carbenoid, thereby not only causing the asymmetric induction, but also, modulating the carbenoid reactivity.

Reaction with furans

Acceptor substituted carbenoids. The reaction of furans with carbenoids usually leads to unravelling of the heterocycle, resulting in differentially functionalized dienes in good yield.^{19,20} The Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed reaction between ethyl diazoacetate 5 with furan (49) revealed the formation of four

Scheme 8 Asymmetric formal $[4 + 3]$ cycloaddition reactions using α -hydroxyester chiral auxiliaries. α -hydroxyester chiral auxiliaries. Scheme 9 Possible mechanisms for the formation of dienes 51 and 52.

products (eqn (6)), including cyclopropane 50, two isomeric dienes 51 and 52, as well as alkylation product $53.^{20}$ Additionally, cyclopropane 50 is unstable and rearranges to diene 51 upon prolonged standing.

The formation of dienes 51 and 52 can be proposed from several intermediates.^{19,20} One possibility is that a zwitterionic intermediate 54 forms by electrophilic addition of the carbenoid to the furan ring at the 2-position. The cycloreversion reaction to the corresponding dienes 51 or 52 then proceeds as depicted in Scheme 9. However, the observation that cyclopropane 50 can also rearrange to diene 51 upon standing means that a cyclopropane intermediate cannot be ruled out, although this rearrangement would need to be catalyzed under the reaction conditions. Also, this would not be consistent with the fact that the cyclopropane 50 rearranges exclusively to diene 51.

The ability to form functionalized dienes has been exploited in the synthesis of leukotrienes, such as 12-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid (59).²¹ Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed reaction of diazoacetate 56 with furan 49 generates cyclopropane 57 which, on standing, reverts predominantly to the (Z,E) -diene 58, a key intermediate in the synthesis of target 59 (Scheme 10). The strategy is quite general and has been applied to a series of related compounds.²²

Scheme 10 Total synthesis of 12-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid 59.

Reiser et al. report that the enantioselective cyclopropanation of furan 49 with acceptor carbenoids is a poor reaction. The use of a copper bisoxazoline catalyst results in moderate enantioselectivities ($\leq 50\%$ ee) and very low yields ($\geq 20\%$).¹¹ However, the situation changes dramatically when methyl furan-carboxylate 60 is subjected to cyclopropanation with this catalyst system. While the yields in these reactions are still not ideal (19–46%), the cyclopropanation is regioselective for the less-substituted, more electron-rich double bond, and highly diastereoselective, with only the exo-adduct 61 isolated (Scheme 11). Additionally, high enantioselectivity (up to 91% ee, with recrystallization from pentane providing a product with 99% ee) can be achieved in these reactions. The authors propose that the major change in reactivity is due to hydrogen bond interaction between the bisoxazoline ligand and the ester group of the substrate 60 as depicted in Scheme 11 to control the approach of the substrate 60 to the metal center of the catalyst.¹¹

Acceptor–acceptor carbenoids. Pirrung et al. reported that furan 49 reacts with 2-diazo-1,3-cyclohexanedione 19 to generate tricycle 62 in good yield (eqn (7)).²³ The reaction likely proceeds through a zwitterionic species similar to the reaction of 19 with N-acyl pyrroles¹² (Scheme 2). A puzzling feature of this transformation is the fact that 62 would need to be derived from a zwitterionic intermediate derived from attack of the carbenoid at the 3-position, which is electronically unfavored. Pirrung et al. suggested that the regiochemistry is due to the stereoelectronics involved in ringopening of the furanocyclopropane.12,23 This reaction has been extended to a range of furan derivatives with variable success.²³

Donor–acceptor carbenoids. The $Rh_2(S\text{-DOSP})_4\text{-}catalyzed$ reaction of aryldiazoacetates with furans reveals some very interesting trends as illustrated in Scheme $12¹³$. The reaction of the aryldiazoacetate 22 with furan (49) gave predominantly the biscyclopropane 63 when 22 was used in a three-fold excess. The tendency towards double cyclopropanation is not as pronounced with furan as it is with N-Boc pyrrole and when furan is used in excess the monocyclopropane product becomes the dominant product. Most interestingly, when the reaction is conducted with 2,5-dimethylfuran (64), the biscyclopropane 65 is formed, which has the opposite sense of absolute configuration to 63. Furthermore, the sense of the asymmetric induction in the formation of 65 is the same as observed for the reaction of 22 with N-Boc pyrrole (eqn (5)).

The intriguing phenomenon that the enantiomer formed is dependent on the structure of the heterocycle can be rationalized by considering the point of initial attack by the carbenoid.¹³ The model shown in Scheme 13 is derived from the predictive model for the asymmetric induction for $Rh_2(S DOSP_A$ -catalyzed cyclopropanation.²⁴ The non-synchronous cyclopropanation would be expected to initiate at the 2-position of furan and the 3-position of $2,5$ -dimethylfuran.¹³

Scheme 12 $Rh_2(S\text{-DOSP})_4$ -catalyzed reaction of 22 with furan and 2,5-dimethylfuran.

Scheme 11 Asymmetric cyclopropanation of methyl furan-2-carboxylate 60.

Scheme 13 Model for $Rh_2(S-DOSP)_4$ -catalyzed cyclopropanation of furan and 2,5-dimethylfuran (Ar = (p-Br)Ph).

This results in the formation of the same diastereomer but opposite enantiomers, even though the same enantiomer of the catalyst is used in both cases. The fact that the reaction with N-Boc pyrrole gives the opposite asymmetric induction to furan suggests that the steric influence of the N-Boc group causes the cyclopropanation to be initiated at the C-3 position.¹³

In the reactions described above, products derived from unravelling of the furan ring were only very minor side products. Thus, the donor groups in the donor–acceptor substituted carbenoids seem to make these carbenoids less prone to the formation of zwitterionic intermediates compared to the acceptor carbenoids. However, if electron-donating groups are incorporated into the furan, then zwitterionic transformations can become the dominant reaction pathway.²⁵ This is clearly seen in the reaction with 2-methoxyfuran (66) with 22 where the dienes 67 and 68 become the exclusive products (eqn (8)).¹³

Furans are excellent substrates for the vinylcarbenoid formal $[4 + 3]$ cycloaddition reactions leading to the formation of 8-oxabicyclo^[3.2.1]octadienes $72.^{25,26}$ The $[4 + 3]$ cycloadduct 72, however, is not always the dominant product in this reaction, as a competing reaction involving ring-opening of the furan ring to form triene 70 can take place (Scheme 14). The reactions are believed to occur through dipolar intermediate 69, when bond formation at the 2-position is more advanced than at the 3-position. The ring system becomes prone to cleavage, and opens to triene 70 in competition with the Cope rearrangement to form 72. The ratio of 70 to 72 is dependent on the extent of charge build up in the transition state. In contrast, when bond formation at the 3-position is more advanced during the initial cyclopropanation, i.e. 71, the Cope rearrangement to form 72 proceeds uneventfully.

Because the nature of the dipolar intermediate 69 or 71 is crucial to the reaction, the product distribution is heavily

Scheme 14 Formation of triene 70 and 8-oxabicyclo^[3.2.1]octadiene 72 in the reactions of vinylcarbenoids with furans.

influenced by the substituents on both the diazoacetate 73 and the furan 74 (Table 2).^{25,27} It can be seen that furans with electron-donating substituents, such as methoxy, lead to exclusive formation of the ring-opened triene product 76 .²⁵ In contrast, 2,5-dimethylfuran generates only the tandem cyclopropanation–Cope rearrangement product 75. Reaction with furan forms a mixture of these two products, in favor of 75 when the vinyldiazoacetate is functionalized with either one or two electron-withdrawing groups. The relative amount of 76 in the product distribution can be increased by using 2-methylfuran, as the increased electron density relative to

Table 2 Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed decomposition of vinyldiazoacetate 73 in the presence of furan 74

N_2	CO ₂ R R^1 Ŗ ² 73	RЗ 74 $Rh_2(OAc)_4$	R ⁴	R ⁴ R^3	R^2 75	CO ₂ R \bar{R}^3 R^1	R^4 76	CO ₂ R R^1 R ²
R	R ¹	R^2	R^3	R ⁴		75 Yield $(\%$		76 Yield $(\%$
Et Et Et Et Me Me	Н Н Н Н Н OTBS	CO ₂ Et CO ₂ Et CO ₂ Et CO ₂ Et H H	OMe Me Н Me H Н	Н Me Н Н H H	θ 70 62 8 51 90		92 θ 26 74 15 θ	

Scheme 15 $Rh_2(S-TBSP)_4$ -catalyzed decomposition of vinyldiazoacetates 27 and 79 in the presence of furan 49.

furan helps stabilize the developing charges on intermediate 69. The reaction of furan with siloxy-substituted diazoacetate eliminates the competing triene formation to generate product 75 in high yield $(90\%)^{27}$

The 8-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octadiene 75 is a versatile building block in organic synthesis.²⁸ This compound can generally be prepared by the $[4 + 3]$ annulation between allyl cations and furans.²⁹ However, diastereocontrol is not assured using this method, and so the vinylcarbenoid approach provides a useful alternative in this case. The methodology is amenable to an asymmetric reaction when the chiral catalyst $Rh_2(S-TBSP)_4$ is used (Scheme 15). 27 Reaction with vinyldiazoacetate 27 generates the desired $[4 + 3]$ adduct 77 in reasonable enantioselectivity (80% ee), but the reaction was hampered by the formation of the triene side product 78. Formation of trienes such as 78 can be inhibited by using silyloxy-substituted vinyldiazoacetate 79 (Table 2), but in this case the product 80 was isolated with only moderate enantioselectivity (46% ee).

As the chiral catalyst $Rh_2(S-TBSP)_4$ is not especially effective in these reactions, the chiral auxiliaries which proved to be effective for the $[4 + 3]$ annulation between vinyldiazoacetates and pyrroles (Scheme $8)^{17}$ become a viable alternative. Accordingly, the $Rh_2(OOct)_4$ -catalyzed reaction of (R) -pantolactone-based vinyldiazoacetate 81 provides oxabicycle 82 in good yield and diastereoselectivity (eqn (9)).²⁷

Reactions with benzofurans

Acceptor substituted carbenoids. Benzo-fused heterocycles offer an opportunity of reaction with carbenoids at either the benzene or heterocycle portion, although it would be expected that reaction at the latter would predominate as it is more electron-rich. Wenkert et al. report the copper-catalyzed reaction of ethyl diazoacetate 5 with benzofuran 83 undergoes cyclopropanation of the enol ether double bond to provide a 7 : 1 mix of diastereoisomers **84a** and **84b** (eqn (10)).³⁰ A more

recent example of this reaction using $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ isolated the exo isomer 84a exclusively in moderate yield.³¹

Acceptor–acceptor substituted carbenoids. $Rh_2(OAc)_4$ -catalyzed decomposition of 2-diazo-1,3-cyclohexanedione 19 in the presence of benzofuran 83 yields two regiomeric cycloadducts 85 and 86, arising from competition between initial bond formation at the 2- and 3-positions (eqn (11)).²³ The ratio of these compounds is dependent on the reaction conditions, with a greater amount of 85 formed in fluorobenzene than when the reaction is conducted neat in benzofuran 83.

Donor–acceptor carbenoids. The reaction of benzofuran 83 or 3-methylbenzofuran 87 with 22 provided a single diastereomer 88 or 89 with very high enantioselectivity using $Rh_2(S\text{-DOSP})_4$ catalyst (eqn (12)).¹³ The high enantioselectivity can be explained by an extension of the model shown in Scheme 13. Cyclopropanation with initial bond formation at the 2-position proceeds to give the enantiomer observed. A cyclopropanation reaction to give the opposite enantiomer necessarily has to proceed with initial bond formation at the 3-position, and this would involve a steric clash between the rhodium catalyst core and the benzene ring.

Further evidence of the subtleties of this chemistry is seen in the reaction of 2-methylbenzofuran 90 with 22. In this case, no cyclopropanation of the enol ether double bond is observed, and the product results from double cyclopropanation of the benzene ring to give 91 (eqn (13)).¹³ The reaction at the enol ether is effectively blocked at the 3-position by the benzene ring, and at the 2-position by the methyl group. It is particularly noteworthy that the steric demands of this reaction lead to the disruption of a more stable benzene ring over a more electron-rich furan ring.

Reactions with indoles

Acceptor substituted carbenoids. N-Alkyl indoles 92 and 93 form alkylation products in a manner similar to N-alkyl pyrroles (eqn (1)), although the regioselectivity of this transformation is switched so that the 3-substituted product 94 or 95 is the only product (eqn (14)).³⁰ This is consistent with the electrophilic substitution reactions of indole derivatives indoles behave more like isolated enamines, which react with electrophiles at the 3-position. The incorporation of an electron-withdrawing group in the case of N-Boc indole 96 allows cyclopropane products to be isolated as a mixture of the two diastereomers 97 and 98 (eqn (15)).³²

Acceptor–acceptor substituted carbenoids. Upon treatment of N-alkyl indoles such as 92 with dimethyl diazomalonate 8, alkylation product 99 is obtained (eqn (16)).³³ Again the yield is much higher than the corresponding reaction with ethyl diazoacetate 5 (eqn (14)), showing the same trends observed with pyrroles (compare eqn (1) and (2)).

If both the 2- and 3-positions are blocked in a substrate such as 100, alkylation can be observed at the 6-position on the benzene ring to give 101 (Scheme 16). Additionally, in contrast

Scheme 16 Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed decomposition of dimethyl diazomalonate 8 in the presence of indole 100.

to the reactions of pyrrole derivatives, $\frac{8}{3}$ unless the nitrogen atom has a substituent, N-H insertion to form 102 is a competing process.³³

With N-acyl indole 103 and 2-diazo-1,3-cyclohexanedione 19, a tetracyclic product 104 is observed (eqn (17)) in common with Pirrung et al.'s other results in this area.²³ However, in contrast to the reaction with benzofuran 83 (eqn (11)), in the reaction with 103 only a single regioisomer is formed.

Donor–acceptor carbenoids. The $Rh_2(S\text{-DOSP})_4\text{-catalyzed}$ reaction of 2- or 3-methyl-N-Boc indole 105 with 22 resulted in double cyclopropanation of the benzenoid ring to isolate 106 as a single diastereomer (eqn (18)).¹³ The apparent inert reactivity of the pyrrole ring can be rationalized by comparison to other systems—cyclopropanation with initial bond formation at the 3-position is blocked by the benzene ring as demonstrated in the benzofuran series (see Scheme 13), while the reaction with initial bond formation at the 2-position is hindered by the bulky N-Boc substituent. Apparently the less stringent steric demands of the acceptor carbenoid allow cyclopropanation of the heterocycle to occur (eqn (15)).³² The more sterically demanding carbenoids derived from diazoacetates 8 and 22 demonstrate that reaction with the benzene portion of indole can occur as long as the pyrrole portion is sterically hindered.^{13,33}

Reaction of a donor–acceptor carbenoid 108 with 3-methylindole 107 has been reported by Antos and Francis, and provides a mixture of N-alkyl product 109 and 2-alkyl product 110 (eqn (19)).³⁴ Nevertheless, this result shows that in the absence of a sterically-blocking N-substituent donor–acceptor carbenoids can undergo bond-forming reactions with indoles at the 2-position to form 110. The use of diazoacetate 108 allows the reaction to be carried out in aqueous media, despite the anticipated reaction of the metal carbenoid intermediate with water.

Muthusamy et al. have reported that cyclic diazo compound 112 reacts with indole 111 to generate alkylation product 113 in good yield as a single regioisomer (eqn (20)).³⁵ N-Alkyl groups on both the indole 111 and the diazo compound 112 were tolerated in the reaction, but electron-withdrawing groups on 112 result in a lower yield of 113.

Rainier et al. have reported an intriguing transformation involving the reaction of vinyldiazoacetate 115 with thioindole 114 (Scheme 17). 36 Product 116 arises from a Claisen rearrangement of intermediate sulfur ylide 117, allowing the generation of indolines having quaternary substitution at the 3-position. The racemic thioindole is capable of transferring chirality from the position adjacent to sulfur to the 3-position of the indole to result in a highly diastereoselective formation of 116.

Scheme 17 Rh₂(OAc)₄-catalyzed decomposition of vinyldiazoacetate 115 in the presence of thioindole 114.

Conclusions

The chemistry of metal carbenoids with electron-rich heterocycles can lead to a variety of interesting transformations. By considering the steric and electronic factors associated with the heterocycle and the metal carbenoid, a consistent mechanistic explanation for this chemistry can be made. This will greatly assist in the development of further synthetic advances in this area.

Acknowledgements

Our studies on the reaction of rhodium carbenoids with electron-rich heterocycles were supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-0350536) and the National Institutes of Health (DA-06301 and DA-15225).

References

- 1 M. P. Doyle, M. A. McKervey and T. Ye, Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds: From Cyclopropanes to Ylides, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- 2 G. Maas, Top. Curr. Chem., 1987, 137, 75.
- 3 R. Paulissen, H. Reimlinger, E. Hayez, A. J. Hubert and Ph. Teyssié, Tetrahedron Lett., 1973, 14, 2233.
- 4 H. M. L. Davies and E. G. Antoulinakis, Org. React., 2001, 57, 1.
- 5 H. M. L. Davies, Adv. Nitrogen Heterocycl., 1995, 1, 1.
- 6 H. M. L. Davies and R. E. J. Beckwith, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 2861.
- 7 T. L. Gilchrist, Heterocyclic Chemistry, Pitman Publishing, London, 1985.
- 8 B. E. Maryanoff, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 4410.
- 9 B. E. Maryanoff, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 3000.
- 10 H. M. L. Davies, W. B. Young and H. D. Smith, Tetrahedron Lett., 1989, 30, 4653.
- 11 M. Schinnerl, C. Bohm, M. Seitz and O. Reiser, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2003, 14, 765.
- 12 M. C. Pirrung, J. Zhang and A. T. McPhail, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 6269.
- 13 S. J. Hedley, D. L. Ventura, P. M. Dominiak, C. L. Nygren and H. M. L. Davies, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 5349.
- 14 H. M. L. Davies, E. Saikali and W. B. Young, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 5696.
- 15 M. P. Doyle, R. J. Pieters, J. Taunton, H. Q. Pho, A. Padwa, D. L. Hertzog and L. Precedo, J. Org. Chem., 1991, 56, 820.
- 16 A. S. Kende, T. L. Smalley, Jr. and H. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 7431.
- 17 H. M. L. Davies, J. J. Matasi, L. M. Hodges, N. J. S. Huby, C. Thornley, N. Kong and J. H. Houser, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 1095.
- 18 H. M. L. Davies, P. Bruzinski, D. K. Hutcheson, N. Kong and M. J. Fall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 6897.
- 19 E. Wenkert, Stud. Org. Chem. (Amsterdam), 1986, 26, 557.
- 20 E. Wenkert, M. Guo, R. Lavilla, B. Porter, K. Ramachandran and J. H. Sheu, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 6203.
- 21 Y. Leblanc, B. J. Fitzsimmons, J. Adams, F. Perez and J. Rokach, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 789.
- 22 J. Rokach and J. Adams, Acc. Chem. Res., 1985, 18, 87.
- 23 M. C. Pirrung, J. Zhang, K. Lackey, D. D. Sternbach and F. Brown, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 2112.
- 24 D. T. Nowlan, T. M. Gregg, H. M. L. Davies and D. A. Singleton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 15902.
- 25 H. M. L. Davies, D. M. Clark, D. B. Alligood and G. R. Eiband, Tetrahedron, 1987, 43, 4265.
- 26 H. M. L. Davies, D. M. Clark and T. K. Smith, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 5659.
- 27 H. M. L. Davies, G. Ahmed and M. R. Churchill, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 10774.
- 28 M. Lautens, Synlett, 1993, 177.
- 29 H. M. R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., 1973, 85, 877.
- 30 E. Wenkert, M. E. Alonso, H. E. Gottlieb, E. L. Sanchez, R. Pellicciari and P. Cogolli, J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 3945.
- 31 S. A. Matlin, L. Chan and B. Catherwood, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, 89.
- 32 F. Gnad, M. Poleschak and O. Reiser, Tetrahedron Lett., 2004, 45, 4277.
- 33 R. Gibe and M. A. Kerr, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 6247.
- 34 J. M. Antos and M. B. Francis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 10256.
- 35 S. Muthusamy, C. Gunanathan, S. A. Babu, E. Suresh and P. Dastidar, Chem. Commun., 2002, 824.
- 36 A. V. Novikov, A. Sabahi, A. M. Nyong and J. D. Rainier, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 2003, 14, 911.

Textbooks from the RSC

The RSC publishes a wide selection of textbooks for chemical science students. From the bestselling Crime Scene to Court, 2nd edition to groundbreaking books such as Nanochemistry: A Chemical Approach to Nanomaterials, to primers on individual topics from our successful Tutorial Chemistry Texts series, we can cater for all of your study needs.

Find out more at www.rsc.org/books

Lecturers can request inspection copies - please contact sales@rsc.org for further information.

RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/books